

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/960,563	09/960,563 09/12/2001		Bernd Petzold	10191/2020	5713	
26646	7590	08/02/2006		EXAMINER		
KENYON	& KEN	YON LLP	TO, TUAN C			
ONE BRO NEW YOR		10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3663			
			DATE MAILED: 08/02/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/960,563	PETZOLD ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tuan C. To	3663	

	Tuan C. To	3663	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 106.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	0001100
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(· · · · - · - · /·
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 16-20,22-25 and 27-29. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		/	<i>′</i> .
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(P10/36/08 of P10-1449) Paper N	10(S).	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		11	
		CHATENT EXAM	AINEP

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The U.S No. '773A to Mutsuga et al. still reads on every limitations of the claims. The applicant argues in his response that Mutsuga fails to teach or suggest display of isolines or isographic diagram as explicitly defined in the applicant's specification. It is not persuasive since isolines are not limited as defined in the applicant's specification, isolines are defined generally as lines on a map or chart. Mutsuga et al. certainly teaches a display of isolines (lines on a map). The display device (12) illustrated in Mutsuga et al. is configured to reproduced the traffic disruptions such as the congested section which is shown in the forms of isolines.

It is important to note that claim 1 recites "a reproducing device configured to reproduce the calculated first route and the at least one second route for selection by a user" instead of "both first and second routes are simultaneously displayed on the display". Referring now to figure 15A of Mutsuga, the display (12) displays the first route indicative of a congested area, and the second route as an optimal route provided for user to select when there is indication of traffic congestion on the first route.

According to that reasons, the final rejection cannot be withdrawn.